| Line \# | Title of Former Redevelopment Agency: | Countywide Totals | sc-Roseland | Sc-Russian River | sc-Springs | Sonoma County RDA | Cloverdale RDA | Cotati RDA | Healdsburg RDA | Petauma RDA | Rohnert Park RDA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | Total RPTTF Deposits (sum of lines 2:5) | 36,019,590 | 650,275 | 1,702,735 | 975,813 | 3,328,823 | 1,494,393 | 1,848,638 | 4,926,941 | 7,176,013 | 6,251,326 |
| 7 | Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund CAC Administrative Costs and Passthroughs | 36,019,590 | 650,275 | 1,702,735 | 975,813 | 3,328,823 | 1,494,393 | 1,848,638 | 4,926,941 | 7,176,013 | 6,251,326 |
| 8 | RPTTF Distributions - Include all payments made pursuant to Health and Safety Code (H\&S) Section 34183. Note that the following distributions are not necessary listed in the priority order required by H\&S 34183. Administrative Distributions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 | Administrative Fees to CAC | 105,814 | 4,166 | 5,724 | 4,674 | 14,564 | 5,115 | 5,828 | 10,552 | 19,834 | 11,432 |
| 11 12 | SB 2557 Administration Fees <br> SCO Invoices for Audit and Oversight - Funding should only be allocated for this purpose when there is sufficient RPTTF to fully fund the approved enforceable obligations as shown on line 35 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | Total Administrative Distributions (sum of lines 10:12) | 105,814 | 4,166 | 5,724 | 4,674 | 14,564 | 5,115 | 5,828 | 10,552 | 19,834 | 11,432 |
| 14 | Passthrough Distributions- |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | City Passthrough Payments | 149,297 | 3,696 |  |  | 3,696 |  |  | 60,411 | 23,97 |  |
| 16 | County Passtrough Payments | 6,907,078 | 9,601 | 70,530 | 10,026 | 90,157 | 336,161 | 480,197 | 1,111,810 | 1,604,086 | 2,164,425 |
| 17 | Special District Passthrough Payments | 800,461 | 6,056 | 82,514 | 11,362 | 99,932 | 38,348 | 198,400 | 86,477 | 118,581 | 143,171 |
| 18 | K-12 School Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion | 609,917 | 11,787 | 58,979 | 9,273 | 80,039 |  |  | 110,873 | 159,235 |  |
| 19 | K-12 School Pasthrough Payments - Faciilities Portion | 798,667 | 15,435 | 77,231 | 12,143 | 104,809 |  |  | 145,184 | 208,513 |  |
| 20 | K-12 School Passthrough Payments - HeS 33676 | 254,856 |  |  |  |  | 15,502 |  |  |  | 237,586 |
| 21 | Community College Passthrough Payments - Tax Portion | 142,028 | 1,814 | 19,365 | 4,550 | 25,728 |  |  | 34,591 | 21,994 |  |
| 22 | Community College Passtrough Payments - Facilities Portion | 156,979 | 2,004 | 21,403 | 5,029 | 28,437 |  |  | 38,233 | 24,310 |  |
| 23 | Community College Passthrough Payments - H\&S 33676 | 116,621 |  |  |  |  | 4,958 | 12,720 |  |  | 98,705 |
| 24 | County Office of Education - Tax Portion | 12,287 | 232 | 1,703 | ${ }^{242}$ | 2,177 |  |  | 3,026 | 866 |  |
| 25 | County Office of Education - Faciilities Portion | 52,384 | 990 | 7,259 | 1,032 | 9,281 |  |  | 12,898 | 3,691 |  |
| 26 | Education Revenue Augmentation Fund (ERAF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | Total Passthrough Distributions (sum of lines 15:24) | 10,000,575 | 51,615 | 338,984 | 53,657 | 444,256 | 394,969 | 691,317 | 1,603,503 | 2,165,250 | 2,643,887 |
| 28 | Total Administrative and Passtrough Distributions (sum of lines 13 and 25) | 10,106,389 | 55,781 | 344,708 | 58,331 | 458,820 | 400,084 | 697,145 | 1,614,055 | 2,185,084 | 2,655,319 |
| 29 | Total RPTTF Balance Available to Fund Successor Agency (SA) Enforceable Obligations (EOS) (line 6-26) | 25,913,201 | 594,494 | 1,358,027 | 917,482 | 2,870,003 | 1,094,309 | 1,151,993 | 3,312,886 | 4,990,929 | 3,596,007 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | Non-Admin EOs | 11,662,071 | 126,493 | 256,660 | 523,410 | 906,563 | 946,285 | 294,463 | 1,154,913 | 3,960,617 | 1,264,731 |
| 32 | Admin EOs | 839,398 | 18,774 | 42,017 | 28,607 | 89,398 | 125,000 | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  |
| 33 | Less PPAs - Amount should be entered as a negative number. | $(201,319)$ | (25,873) | $(12,313)$ | $(13,875)$ | (52,061) |  |  | $(50,017)$ | $(46,141)$ | (11,424) |
| 34 | Less RPTTF Withholding - Amounts should be entered as a negative number: |  |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35 | LмінF | $(593,669)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | OFA | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | Total Finance Approved RPTTF for Distribution (sum of lines 29:34) | 11,706,481 | 119,394 | 286,364 | 538,142 | 943,900 | 1,071,285 | 419,463 | 1,104,896 | 4,039,476 | 1,253,307 |
| 38 | CAC Distributed ROPS RPTTF- CACs should first apply the negative PPA and RPTTF witholding amounts to the non-admin distributions and then apply the balances to the admin distributions if necessary. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39 | Non-Admin EOs | 10,867,083 | 100,620 | 244,347 | 509,535 | 854,502 | 946,285 | 294,463 | 1,104,896 | 3,914,476 | 1,25,307 |
| 40 | Admin EOs | 839,398 | $(7,458)$ | 94,481 | 2,375 | 89,398 | 125,000 | 125,000 |  | 125,000 |  |
| 41 | Total CAC Distributed RPTTF for SA EOS (sum of lines 37 and 38) | 11,706,481 | 93,162 | 338,828 | 511,910 | 943,900 | 1,071,285 | 419,463 | 1,104,896 | 4,039,476 | 1,253,307 |
| 42 | Formula check to determine whether the lesser of the total Finance approved RPTTF or the total RPTTF balance |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43 | Net ROPS 13-14 and DDR withholding RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATES (line 27-39) | 14,206,720 | 501,332 | 1,019,199 | 405,572 | 1,926,103 | 23,024 | 732,030 | 2,207,990 | 951,453 | 2,342,700 |
| 44 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45 | LMIHF (558,010) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46 | OFA |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47 | Total Actual RPTTF Withholdings (sum of lines 43 and 44) | $(558,010)$ | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | . |  |
| 48 | Total ROPS 13-14B Only RPTTF Balance Available for Distribution to ATEs (line $41+45$ ) - Excludes RPTTF |  |  |  |  | 1,926,103 | 23.024 | 732,030 | 2,207,990 | 951.453 | 2,342,700 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50 | Cities | 1,876,512 | 40,962 |  | - | 40,962 | 7,428 | 119,402 | 330,794 | 145,745 | 463,761 |
| 51 | Counties | 1,408,656 | 96,890 | 231,453 | 77,389 | 405,732 | 1,046 | 41,468 | 161,623 | 44,818 | 28,419 |
| 52 | Special Districts | 1,187,657 | 52,153 | 254,823 | 86,163 | 393,139 | 1,915 | 71,341 | 68,993 | 58,472 | 171,961 |
| 53 | k-12 Schools | 6,274,409 | 203,481 | 291,301 | 161,888 | 656,670 | 6,848 | 337,640 | 1,145,719 | 528,542 | 1,195,105 |
| 54 | Community Colleges | 906,551 | 27,036 | 48,354 | 19,718 | 95,108 | 2,191 | 62,490 | 143,049 | 64,469 | 211,084 |
| 55 | County Office of Education | 453,780 | 11,872 | 26,947 | 9,626 | 48,445 | 979 | 30,065 | 71,250 | 30,401 | 117,508 |
| 56 | Total ERAF - Please break out the ERAF amounts into the following categories if possible. (sum of lines 55:57) $1,541,145$ |  | 68,938 | 166,321 | 50,788 | 286,047 | 2,617 | 69,624 | 286,562 | 79,006 | 154,862 |
| 57 | ERAF- K -12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59 | ERAF - County Offices of Education |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Total RPTTF Distributions to ATEs (sum of lines 48:54) - Total residual distributions must equal the total residual |  | 501,332 | 1,019,199 | 405,572 | 1,926,103 | 23,024 | 732,030 | 2,207,990 | 951,453 |  |
| 61 | Total Residual Distributions to K -14 Schools (sum of lines 51:54): | 9,175,885 | 311,327 | 532,923 | 242,020 | 1,086,270 | 12,635 | 499,819 | 1,646,580 | 702,418 | 1,678,559 |
| 62 | Percentage of Residual Distributions to K -14 Schools | 656.1\% | 62.1\% | 52.3\% | 59.7\% | 56.4\% | 54.9\% | 68.3\% | 74.6\% | 73.8\% | 71.7\% |
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County : Sonoma
ease note the difference between the DOF's approved amount of Admin EOS and the amount the CAC distributed in
Admin EOS tor the County's three projects (i.e. Roseland, Russian River, and Springs) is the result of a correction to the
Admin Allowance allocation for the ROPS $13-14 \mathrm{~A}$ period. These adjustments were made per the SA 's instructions and
Admin Alowance allocation for the ROPS 13 -14A period. These adiustments were made per the SA's instructions and
did not alter the amount of Admin Allowance the County's SA received for the ROPS $13-14 \mathrm{~B}$ period or for the entire 2013 -
14 period
Also, per your Santa Rosa LMIHF Witholding Letter (dated 12117113) we were instructed to withhold $\$ 593,669$ from
Santa Rosa if such an amount was available in their RPTTFs. However, the SR 1-3, Grace RPTTF did not have
sufficient funds to withhold the entire $\$ 383,788$ due from that proiect area so the withholding amount was reduced to ${ }_{63} \$ 348,129$ to leave enough funding in the RPTTF to cover their approved debt sevice obligations.

